arXiv:1011.5371v2 [math.DG] 27 Nov 2010

On the moment-angle manifolds with positive Ricci curvature

Ya. V. Bazaikin[*], I. V. Matvienko[†]

1 Introduction

An interesting problem in Riemannian geometry is the question of the topological complexity of the manifolds admitting Riemannian metrics of positive Ricci curvature. For example, Sha and Yang [1] constructed metrics of positive Ricci curvature on the connected sums of arbitrary number of copies of $S^n \times S^m$, assuming $n, m \geq 2$ fixed. This implies the absence of a priori estimates for the Betti numbers. Wraith [2] generalized Sha and Yangs examples and demonstrated the existence of Riemannian metrics with positive Ricci curvature on the connected sums $\#_{i=1}^{N} S^{n_i} \times S^{m_i}$, where $n_i, m_i \geq 3$.

In the present article, we construct Riemannian metrics of positive Ricci curvature on certain moment-angle manifolds. A moment-angle manifold Z_P is constructed on the basis of a polyhedron P, and there is the canonical free action of the torus T on Z_P such that $Z_P/T = P$. The construction of the moment-angle manifolds is described in more detail in the next section. The main goal of the article is to establish the following result.

Theorem. *Let P be an octahedron obtained from a three-dimensional cube by cutting off small neighborhoods of two edges lying on skew lines. Then, the 11-dimensional moment-angle manifold Z_P admits a Riemannian metric with positive Ricci curvature.*

Besides this example, we consider other moment-angle manifolds with the metrics of positive Ricci curvature. However, the space indicated in the theorem is of particular interest, because of its topological complexity. For example, as is shown in [3, 4], it contains nontrivial Massey triple products in cohomology. Hence, the constructed Z_P is non-formal moment-angle manifold.

In the conclusion of the introduction we would like to pose two questions, which seem natural to us.

---

[*] Author was supported by the Leading Scientific Schools grant NSh-7256.2010.1, SB RAS Integration grant N46 and the RFBR (grants 09-01-00598-a and 10-01-92102-Yaf-a)

[†] Author was supported by the Leading Scientific Schools grant NSh-7256.2010.1, SB RAS Integration grant N46 and the RFBR (grant 09-01-00142-a)

Question 1. *Does there exist a metric of positive Ricci curvature on every moment-angle manifold?*

We believe that the answer to this question is positive. As one of the facts suggesting this (besides the results of the present article), we indicate the following. If P is a two-dimensional polygon or P is obtained from a multidimensional tetrahedron by multiple applying the operation of cutting off the neighborhood of a vertex, then, as is shown in [5], Z_P is diffeomorphic to a certain connected sum of the products of spheres of various dimensions, and the positive answer to the question follows from Wraith's paper [2].

The concept of a quasitoric manifold [4] is closely related to the moment-angle manifolds. The second question is more difficult and not so clear:

Question 2. *Does there exist a metric of positive Ricci curvature on every quasitoric manifold?*

To indicate that the positive answer is probable, we only point out that the Riemannian metrics with positive Ricci curvature were constructed in [6] on every simply connected four-dimensional quasitoric manifold. Moreover, it was proved in [7] that those metrics can be chosen to be invariant with respect to an arbitrary prescribed action of T^2.

The authors are grateful to T. E. Panov for numerous useful discussions.

2 Moment-angle manifolds

The concept of a moment-angle manifold was introduced in [8]. The detailed treatment of the moment-angle manifolds and the closely related quasitoric manifolds is given in [4]. Here we give only the necessary definitions and properties.

Let P be an n-dimensional polyhedron in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, defined by the system of inequalities

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}x^j + b_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

which are in general position. Let $P_1, \dots, P_m$ be its faces of codimension one. For $p \in P$ let $G(p)$ denote the smallest (by inclusion) face containing the point p. Consider $X = P \times T^m$, where $T^m = \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) : z_i \in \mathbb{C}, |z_i| = 1\}$ is the standard m-dimensional torus with the numeration of coordinates corresponding to the numeration of the codimension-one faces of P. Let T^{F_i} denote the circle $S^1 \subseteq T^m$ corresponding to the i-th codimension-one face. Now, for each face G of the polyhedron P we put

$$T^G = \prod_{G \subseteq F_i} T^{F_i} \subset T^m.$$

We identify the points of X in the following way:

$$(p, z_i) \sim (p', z_i') \quad \text{iff} \quad p = p' \text{ and } \bar{z}_i z_i' \in T^{G(p)}.$$

One can prove [4, 8] that there is a canonical structure of a topological manifold on the factor-space $Z_P = (P \times T^m)/\sim$, and the natural T^m action by shifts on itself induces a continuous action on Z_P. The subgroup $T^G(p)$ is the stabilizer of the point $(p, Z) \in Z_P$ and, clearly, $Z_P/T^m = P$. Moreover, Z_P can be equipped with the structure of a smooth manifold, such that the natural T^m action becomes smooth [4]. The manifold Z_P (with some T^m-invariant smooth structure) is called a *moment-angle manifold*.

Now, if we consider a torus $T^{m-n} \subset T^m$, which acts freely on Z_P, then $M = Z_P/T^{m-n}$ will be a quasitoric manifold, and, conversely, every quasitoric manifold can be constructed from a certain moment-angle manifold [8]. In this case, there arises a principal bundle with the structural group T^{m-n}

$$\pi : Z_P \to M.$$

Analogously, if the factor-space Z_P/T^{m-n} is an orbifold, then we will call it a quasitoric orbifold. In this case, π is a principal toric bundle in the sense of orbifolds.

Example 0. Let $P = I = [0,1]$ be a segment on the real line. In this case, we can easily understand that $Z_P = S^3 = \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1\}$. The torus $T^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_1| = |z_2| = 1\}$ acts on S^3 in the standard way

$$(z_1, z_2) \in T^2 : (u, v) \mapsto (uz_1, vz_2).$$

Example 1. Let $P = I^3$ be a three-dimensional cube. Then $Z_P = S^3 \times S^3 \times S^3$. The torus $T^6 = T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ acts on Z_P. If we consider a circle S^1, diagonally embedded in T^2, then $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ acts freely on Z_P, and we obtain a quasitoric manifold $M_1 = S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2$.

The manifold M_1 can be modified in the following way. The torus $T^3 = T^6/(S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1)$ acts on M_1 (every circle of the torus acts on its own sphere S^2 by rotations around the polar axis). It is obvious that $M/T^3 = P$. Consider a subgroup $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3$ of T^3 generated by the element $(\omega, \omega, \omega) \in T^3$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Then, $M_1' = M_1/\Gamma$ is a quasitoric orbifold with eight singular points, whose neighborhoods look like $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. If we resolve every singular point with the help of the "blow-up" operation (it means that we cut out some neighborhood of a singular point and, instead of it, paste in a cube of the canonical complex line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^2$ — the details of this construction can be found in the next section), then we arrive at a quasitoric manifold N_1. Now, the polyhedron $Q_1 = N_1/\mathbb{Z}_3$, associated with the quasitoric manifold N_1, can be obtained from the cube P by cutting off all the vertices. We obtain a principal T^3-bundle

$$\pi_1 : Z_{Q_1} \to N_1.$$

Example 2. Let us consider a polyhedron Q_2, obtained from the cube P by cutting off the neighborhood of a certain vertex. To describe Z_{Q_2}, we consider the following construction. Let the torus T^3 act on $Z_P = S^3 \times S^3 \times S^3$ as follows

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in T^3 : \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v_1 \\ u_2 & v_2 \\ u_3 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_1 z_2^2\, u_1 & \bar{z}_1\, v_1 \\ z_2 z_3^2\, u_2 & \bar{z}_2\, v_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 z_3\, u_3 & \bar{z}_3\, v_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the rows of matrices represent the coordinates of the corresponding spheres S^3.

Lemma 1. *The action described above is free everywhere in* Z_P *outside of the submanifold*

$$F = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 \\ u_2 & 0 \\ u_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : |u_1| = |u_2| = |u_3| = 1 \right\}.$$

The points of the submanifold F *have the stabilizer* $Fix(F) = \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_3$ *generated by the element* (ω, ω, ω)*, where* $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$.

Thus, the factor-space $M_2' = Z_P/T^3$ is a quasitoric orbifold with one singular point $p = F/T^3$, whose neighborhood is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. If we resolve this singularity by blowing-up the neighborhood of p, then we get a quasitoric manifold N_2 corresponding to $N_2/T^3 = Q_2$ and obtain a principal T^4-bundle

$$\pi_2 : Z_{Q_2} \to N_2.$$

In [5] (using the results of [4]) the topology of the space Z_{Q_2} was investigated. In particular, Z_{Q_2} can not be represented as connected sum of products of spheres. Further properties of the topology of Z_{Q_2} was studied in [9].

Example 3. Let us consider a polyhedron Q_3, obtained from the cube P by cutting off the neighborhoods of two edges lying on skew lines. To describe Z_{Q_3}, we consider the following construction. Let the torus T^3 act on $Z_P = S^3 \times S^3 \times S^3$ as follows

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in T_2^3 : \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v_1 \\ u_2 & v_2 \\ u_3 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_1\, u_1 & z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_3\, v_1 \\ z_2 \bar{z}_3\, u_2 & \bar{z}_1 z_2\, v_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 z_2 z_3\, u_3 & z_3\, v_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

where, as before, the rows of matrices represent the coordinates of the corresponding spheres S^3.

Lemma 2. *The action described above is free everywhere in* Z_P *outside of two submanifolds*

$$F_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v_1 \\ u_2 & 0 \\ u_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : |u_1|^2 + |v_1|^2 = |u_2|^2 = |u_3|^2 = 1 \right\},$$

$$F_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_1 \\ 0 & v_2 \\ u_3 & v_3 \end{pmatrix} : |v_1|^2 = |v_2|^2 = |u_3|^2 + |v_3|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

The points of the submanifolds F_1 *and* F_2 *have the following stabilizers:*

$$Fix(F_1) = \Gamma_1 = \{(1, \pm(1,1))\} = \mathbb{Z}_2, Fix(F_2) = \Gamma_2 = \{(\pm(1,1),1)\} = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Thus, the factor-space $M'_3 = Z_P/T^3$ is a quasitoric orbifold with two singular submanifolds $F_1/T^3 = S^2$ and $F_2/T^3 = S^2$. Therefore, the tubular neighborhoods of F_1/T^3 and F_2/T^3 are foliated with the fibres diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$. If we resolve M'_3 along the singular submanifolds (by blowing-up along the fibres of the tubular neighborhoods), then we obtain a quasitoric manifold N_3 corresponding to $N_3/T^3 = Q_3$ and a principal T^3-bundle

$$\pi_3 : Z_{Q_3} \to N_3.$$

As was already mentioned in the introduction, the manifold Z_{Q_3} in this example is non-formal [3, 4].

Theorem 1. *The moment-angle manifolds Z_{Q_1}, Z_{Q_2} and Z_{Q_3} from Examples 1-3 admit T^k-invariant Riemannian metrics of positive Ricci curvature ($k = 11, 4, 5$, respectively) such that the principal bundles π_1, π_2 and π_3 are Riemannian submersions.*

The remaining part of the article is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

3 Blowing-up at singular points of the manifolds with positive Ricci curvature

Let us consider a round $(2n+1)$-sphere S^{2n+1}_R of the radius R in the complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$. The standard Hermitian scalar product induces a Riemannian metric $R^2 ds^2_{2n+1}$ on S^{2n+1}_R. The circle S^1 acts freely on the sphere S^{2n+1}_R: for $u \in S^1 we have : (z_0, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (uz_0, \dots, uz_n)$. The factor-space under this action is the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$ with the Fubini-Study metric scaled by R. As usual, we will denote $\mathbb{C}P^n_1 = \mathbb{C}P^n$.

Let us remind the (topological) construction of blowing-up the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Consider the canonical complex $\mathbb{C}$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. It is well known that the corresponding spherical sub-bundle is isomorphic to the Hopf fibration $S^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. Let E be the total space of the globular subbundle in the canonical bundle, that is, $\partial E = S^{2n-1}$. We can cut off a geodesic ball B of the radius ε in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and glue $\mathbb{C}P^n \backslash B$ with E along the common boundary. The obtained manifold can be endowed with the canonical smooth structure and is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^n \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^n}$. If we now consider an orbifold $\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{Z}_p$, where the group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ acts with the isolated fixed point p, then the previous construction can be repeated if we take a geodesic ball with the center p. In this case $\partial((\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{Z}_p)\backslash B) = S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_p$, so we should consider the p-th tensor power of the canonical fibration E^p, $\partial(E^p) = S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and glue $(\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{Z}_p)\backslash B$ with E^p along the common boundary. The resulted manifold M models the blowing-up of a singular point. Notice that there exists a T^n-action on $\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and E^p. Moreover, the restrictions of this action to the identified boundaries are the same, so there can be defined a natural T^n-action on the manifold M.

We will be interested in the following specific action of the group $\mathbb{Z}_n$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Let $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$, $\mathbb{Z}_n = \langle \omega \rangle$. Consider the action on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$:

$$\omega : (z_0, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (z_0, \omega z_1, \dots, \omega z_n).$$

It is clear that this action induces an isometric action $\mathbb{Z}_n$ on $\mathbb{C}P^n$, which is free outside of two subsets: $\bar{p} = [1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ and $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} = \{z_0 = 0\}$. Therefore, $\bar{p}$ is an isolated fixed point, and we can perform the blow-up operation in its neighborhood. The goal of the present section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *There exists $\sigma > 0$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the manifold M, obtained from $\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$ by blowing-up the singular point $\bar{p}$ as described above, admits a Riemannian metric g with Ricci curvature bounded below by σ and coinciding with the Fubini-Study metric outside of the geodesic ball of radius ε centered at the point $\bar{p}$. Moreover, the torus T^n acts on M isometrically.*

We begin the proof of Theorem 2 by the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *The Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$ can be expressed in the following way:*

$$g_R = dt^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \frac{t}{R}\ \cos^2 \frac{t}{R}\ ds_v^2 + R^2 \sin^2 \frac{t}{R}\ ds_h^2,$$

where $0 \le t \le R\frac{\pi}{2}$ is the distance to the point $\bar{p}$, whereas $ds_v^2 = ds_{2n-1}^2|_V$ and $ds_h^2 = ds_{2n-1}^2|_H$ are the restrictions of the standard sphere metric to the distribution of vertical and horizontal tangent subspaces for the diagonal action of S^1 on the sphere S^{2n-1}.

Proof of Lemma 3. The tangent space to the sphere at the point $p \in S_R^{2n+1}$ splits into the horizontal and vertical subspaces $T_p S_R^{2n+1} = V_p \oplus H_p$ with respect to the Riemannian submersion $\pi_n : S_R^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P_R^n$:

$$V_p = \{t \cdot ip \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$
$$H_p = \{u \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid \langle u, p \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = 0\}.$$

Let us fix the points $p_0 = (R, 0, \dots, 0) \in S_R^{2n+1}$ and $\bar{p}_0 = \pi_n(p_0)$. For $0 \le t \le R\pi/2$ we put

$$S_t = \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) | |z_0| = R \cos \frac{t}{R}\} \subset S_R^{2n+1}.$$

It is clear that S_0 is the S^1-orbit of the point p_0 and a closed geodesic on the sphere; $S_{R\pi/2}$ is the equatorial sphere in S_R^{2n-1} under the imbedding $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ as a complex hyperplane $\{z_0 = 0\}$. For $0 < t < R\pi/2$ the submanifold S_t is the tubular hypersurface of radius t around S_0 (evidently, it coincides with the tubular hypersurface of radius $R\pi/2 - t$ around $S_{R\pi/2}$), and it is isometric to the product $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$ with the metric

$$g = R^2 (\cos^2 \frac{t}{R}\ ds_1^2 + \sin^2 \frac{t}{R}\ ds_{2n-1}^2).$$

Every shortest normal geodesic from S_0 to S_t projects to a geodesic in $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$ of the same length. Thus, S_t projects to a geodesic sphere $\bar{S}_t$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$ of radius t centered at $\bar{p}_0$, which is the factor-space of S_t under the action of the group S^1. It is easy to see that, under the assumption $0 < t < R\pi/2$, $\bar{S}_t$ is diffeomorphic to the sphere S^{2n-1}_R with a "skewed" metric. If we denote $ds_v^2 = ds^2_{2n-1}|_V$ and $ds_h^2 = ds^2_{2n-1}|_H$ to be the restrictions of the standard spherical metric to the distributions of vertical and horizontal subspaces in $\bar{S}_t$, then it can be verified directly that the metric on $\bar{S}_t$ looks like:

$$\bar{g} = R^2(\sin^2\frac{t}{R}\ \cos^2\frac{t}{R}\ ds_v^2 + \sin^2\frac{t}{R}\ ds_h^2).$$

So we obtain the following expression for the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$:

$$g_R = dt^2 + R^2\sin^2\frac{t}{R}\ \cos^2\frac{t}{R}\ ds_v^2 + R^2\sin^2\frac{t}{R}\ ds_h^2,$$

where $0 \le t \le R\pi/2$. The lemma is proved.

Further, we will consider metrics on $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$ of the following form:

$$g = dt^2 + h(t)^2 ds_v^2 + f(t)^2 ds_h^2 \tag{2}$$

The following formulas are well known and can be checked easily.

Lemma 4. *Let $X_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ be a unit radial vector, let X_1 be a unit vertical vector (that is, $X_1 \in V$) and let X_2 be a unit horizontal vector ($X_2 \in H$). Then, the Ricci curvature can be calculated by the following formulas.*

$$\begin{gathered} Ric(X_i, X_j) = 0,\ \textit{if}\ \ i \ne j, \\ Ric(X_0, X_0) = -\tfrac{h''}{h} - (2n-2)\tfrac{f''}{f}, \\ Ric(X_1, X_1) = -\tfrac{h''}{h} - (2n-2)\tfrac{f'h'}{fh} + (2n-2)\tfrac{h^2}{f^4}, \\ Ric(X_2, X_2) = -\tfrac{f''}{f} - \tfrac{f'h'}{fh} - (2n-3)\tfrac{(f')^2}{f^2} + \tfrac{2n}{f^2} - 2\tfrac{h^2}{f^4}. \end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

Proof of the Theorem 2. First, we consider a metric g, represented in the following special form:

$$g = \frac{dr^2}{1-\phi(r)} + r^2(1-\phi(r))ds_v^2 + r^2 ds_h^2, \tag{4}$$

where $\phi(r)$ is a smooth function. Then, the formulas (3) are transformed as follows:

$$\begin{gathered} Ric(X_0, X_0) = Ric(X_1, X_1) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\phi'' + (2n+1)\tfrac{\phi'}{r}), \\ Ric(X_2, X_2) = \tfrac{\phi'}{r} + 2n\tfrac{\phi}{r^2}. \end{gathered}$$

For instance, the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^n$ corresponds to $\phi(r) = 0$, whereas the metric g_R on $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$, considered above, can be obtained by taking

$$\phi(r) = \phi_R(r) = \frac{r^2}{R^2}, 0 \le r \le R.$$

Note that g_R is an Einstein metric with the cosmological constant $\frac{2(n+1)}{R^2}$. Put $\psi(r) = r\phi' + 2n\phi$. Then, the Ricci tensor looks especially simple:

$$\begin{gathered} Ric(X_0, X_0) = Ric(X_1, X_1) = \tfrac{\psi'}{2r}, \\ Ric(X_2, X_2) = \tfrac{\psi}{r^2}. \end{gathered}$$

It is evident that the function $\psi_R(r) = 2(n+1)\frac{r^2}{R^2}$ corresponds to the metric on $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$.

Remark 1. If we put $\psi(r) \equiv 0$, then we obtain a Ricci-flat metric

$$g_n = \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{1}{r^{2n}}} + r^2\left(1 - \frac{1}{r^{2n}}\right) ds_v^2 + r^2 ds_h^2,$$

which is nothing else but the $SU(n)$-holonomy metric discovered by Calabi in [10]. It was precisely the Calabi metric that brought us to the ansatz (4).

Put $r_1 = \sqrt{R}$. Now, let us define a function $\psi_n(r)$ for $1 \le r \le R$. Let

$$\psi_n(r) = \psi_R(r), \text{ if } \ r_1 \le r.$$

We have $\psi_n'(r_1) = 4(n+1)r_1/R^2 > 0$. Therefore, we can extend the function ψ_n smoothly into the segment $[1, r_1]$, so that it will satisfy the following conditions in this segment:

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_n'(r) > \tfrac{\kappa}{R^2}, \text{ if } \ r \ge 1, \\ &\psi_n(r) \ge \kappa\tfrac{r^2-1}{R^2}, \text{ if } \ 1 \le r \le r_1, \\ &\psi_n(1) = 0, \\ &\textstyle\int_1^{r_1} \psi_n(s)s^{2n-1}ds = \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is independent on R, and η is any prescribed number satisfying the inequality

$$\kappa\frac{r_1^{2n}}{R^2}\left(\frac{r_1^2}{2n+2} - \frac{1}{2n}\right) < \eta < \frac{\psi_n(r_1)}{2n}\left(r_1^{2n} - 1\right).$$

We would like to put

$$\eta = \frac{r_1^{2(n+1)}}{R^2} - 1.$$

Indeed, the inequalities for η are written in this case as:

$$\kappa R^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2n+2} - \frac{1}{2nR}\right) < R^{n-1} - 1,$$

$$\left(R^{n-1} - 1\right) < \frac{n+1}{n}\left(R^{n-1} - \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

Thus, if $R > 2$, then both inequalities are satisfied, and we can construct a function ψ_n with the required properties. Now we can put

$$\phi_n(r) = \frac{1}{r^{2n}}\int_1^r \psi_n(s)s^{2n-1}ds + \frac{1}{r^{2n}}.$$

Obviously, the metric g_n, constructed on the basis of the last function, has nonnegative Ricci curvature, which is positive for $r > 1$. Moreover, the Ricci curvature at the points $r = 1$ vanishes only for the directions of the X_2 vectors. Now, let us verify that ϕ_n coincides with ϕ_R in the segment $r_1 \leq r \leq R$. Indeed, if $r \geq r_1$, then

$$\phi_n(r) = \frac{1}{r^{2n}} + \frac{1}{r^{2n}} \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \psi_n(s) s^{2n-1} ds + \frac{1}{r^{2n}} \int_{r_1}^{r} 2(n+1) \frac{s^{2n+1}}{R^2} ds =$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^{2n}} \left(1 + \eta - \frac{r_1^{2(n+1)}}{R^2} \right) + \frac{r^2}{R^2} = \frac{r^2}{R^2}.$$

Thus, the metric g_n, corresponding to the function ϕ_n, coincides with the metric g_R on $\mathbb{C}P_R^n$ for $r \geq r_1$. Note that

$$\phi_n(1) = 1, \phi_n'(1) = -2n.$$

To get rid of the effect of the Ricci curvature vanishing for $r = 1$, we consider a modified metric:

$$g_n' = \frac{dr^2}{1 - \phi_n(r)} + r^2(1 - \phi_n(r)) ds_v^2 + (1 - \delta(r)) r^2 ds_h^2,$$

where $\delta(r) \geq 0$ is a smooth function. Choose a sufficiently small $\nu > 0$. Take a non-increasing function $\delta_\nu(r)$, $1 \leq r \leq R$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_\nu(r) = 0 \ \text{ if } \ r \geq r_1, \\ &\delta_\nu(r) > 0 \ \text{ if } \ 1 \leq r < r_1, \\ &\delta_\nu(r) \text{ is constant for } 1 \leq r \leq 2, \\ &\|\delta_\nu(r)\|_{C^\infty} \leq \nu. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that if we choose $R > 4$, then for each $\nu > 0$ there exists a function $\delta_\nu(r)$ with the above properties. Then (3) shows that for $1 \leq r \leq 2$

$$Ric'(X_2, X_2) = \frac{\psi_n}{r^2} + \frac{2\delta_\nu(1)}{(1 - \delta_\nu(1)) r^2} \left(n - \frac{2 - \delta_\nu(1)}{1 - \delta_\nu(1)} (1 - \phi_n) \right).$$

Since the function ϕ_n is strictly positive everywhere on $[1, R]$, then we can assume $\phi_n \geq c(R) > 0$. Consequently, if we take $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\delta_\nu(r) \leq \delta_\nu(1) \leq \frac{2c(R)}{1 + c(R)},$$

then it can be immediately checked that for $1 \leq r \leq 2$

$$Ric'(X_2, X_2) \geq \frac{\psi_n}{r^2} + \frac{2\delta_\nu(1)}{(1 - \delta_\nu(1)) r^2} (n - 2) \geq \frac{2\delta_\nu(1)}{(1 - \delta_\nu(1))} \frac{n-2}{4} > 0. \qquad (5)$$

Then, for $2 \leq r \leq R$ the curvature of g_n is

$$Ric(X_2, X_2) = \frac{\psi_n}{r^2} \geq \kappa \frac{r^2 - 1}{R^2} \geq \frac{3\kappa}{R^2}$$

By continuity, for all sufficiently small $\nu > 0$ (let us remind that ν is independent of R) the following estimate from below holds for the Ricci curvature of the metric g'_n for $2 \le r \le R$:

$$Ric'(X_2, X_2) \ge \frac{2\kappa}{R^2} \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, since the Ricci curvatures $Ric(X_0, X_0) = Ric(X_1, X_1)$ of the metric g_n are bounded below by $\frac{\kappa}{R^2}$, then for all sufficiently small ν we have analogous estimates for the metric g'_n:

$$Ric'(X_0, X_0) = Ric'(X_1, X_1) \ge \frac{\kappa}{2R^2}. \tag{7}$$

Thus, if we fix a sufficiently small number ν, then by inequalities (5), (6), (7) we obtain a common estimate from below for the Ricci curvature:

$$Ric'(X, X) \ge \frac{\sigma}{R^2}, \tag{8}$$

where $|X| = 1$, and the constant $\sigma > 0$ is chosen to be independent of $R > 4$. In particular,

$$\frac{2\delta_\nu(1)}{(1 - \delta_\nu(1))} \frac{n-2}{4} \ge \sigma. \tag{9}$$

Now, let us discuss the smoothness of the metric g'_n in the neighborhood of $r = 1$. Firstly, since h vanishes at the point $r = 1$ and f is strictly positive, the integral circles of the vertical distribution V (that is, the fibres of the submersion) collapse to a point when $r \to 1$; and the metric g'_n is well defined on the blow-up of the space $\mathbb{C}P^n_R$ at the point $\bar{p}$. The smoothness of such metrics was investigated, for example, in [11]. The criterion of the smoothness is as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{r=1} f(t) = 0, \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{r=1} h(t) = \pm 1$$

(strictly speaking, this conditions imply only the C^1-smoothess, but the quasilinearity of the Ricci curvature operator enables us to $\mathbb{C}^\infty$-smooth such metric while preserving an estimate similar to (8)). Direct calculations show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{r=1} f(t) = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{r=1} h(t) = -\frac{\phi'(1)}{2} = n.$$

We can see that to ensure smoothness we have to contract the vertical circles of the submersion by n times; that is, the metric g'_n is a smooth metric with positive Ricci curvature on the space obtained from $\mathbb{C}P^n_R/\mathbb{Z}_n$ by resolving the singularity.

Now, rescale the metric g'_n by $1/R^2$. We obtain a Riemannian metric g on $\mathbb{C}P^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$ with the blown-up singular point and, moreover, the blowing-up is performed inside a neighborhood of radius

$$\frac{r_1}{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R}} = \varepsilon \to 0,$$

where $R \to \infty$. The estimate (8) turns into the following estimate

$$Ric(X, X) \geq \sigma,$$

where σ does not depend on ε. It remains to note that we have been preserving the T^n-invariance of the metric during all our operations with the metric. The theorem is proved.

Remark 2. Using (9), we can estimate

$$f(1) = \sqrt{1 - \delta_\nu(1)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-2+2\sigma}}.$$

After the reduction of the metric by R^2 times, we have the following estimate of the "size" D of the space $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, which is pasted in instead of the singular point:

$$D \leq \frac{1}{R}\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-2+2\sigma}} = \varepsilon^2\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-2+2\sigma}} \tag{10}$$

This estimate will be useful for us in the sequel.

4 The construction of the Ricci-positive Riemannian metrics

We will need several previously known results. Firstly, we need to lift a Riemannian metric of positive Ricci curvature from the base to the total space of a Riemannian submersion while preserving the Ricci-positivity. Similar constructions were considered in the papers [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In [14] the possibility of such lift was proved for the principal torus bundles (the only bundles we will need in our paper), but without any statement concerning the invariance of the resulting metric. Therefore, to obtain the invariant metrics on the moment-angle manifolds, we will use the following stronger result.

Theorem 3 [16]. *Let (Y, g_Y) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold with positive Ricci curvature. Let P be a principal bundle over Y with the compact connected structure group G so that $\pi_1(P)$ is finite. Then, there exists a G-invariant metric g_P on P such that g_P has positive Ricci curvature and $\pi : (P, g_P) \to (Y, g_Y)$ is a Riemannian submersion.*

The following theorem allows changing arbitrary Riemannian metric in a small neighborhood of the manifold to the some model metric.

Theorem 4 [17]. *In the ball $U(0, \rho_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |x| \leq \rho_0\}$, there are considered two Riemannian metrics g_0 and g_1 with positive Ricci curvature and with the same 1-jets $J^1(g_0)$ and $J^1(g_1)$ at the point 0. Then there exists a Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$ in $U(0, \rho_0)$ with positive Ricci curvature and $0 < \rho_2 < \rho_1 < \rho_0$, such that $\bar{g} = g_1$ for $|x| < \rho_2$ and $\bar{g} = g_0$ for $|x| > \rho_1$.*

Remark 3. In [17] Theorem 4 was proved for negative Ricci curvature, but the proof is valid for positive Ricci curvature. In addition, we will need to know slightly more about the construction used in the proof of Theorem 4. Firstly, the metric $\bar{g}$ has the form:

$$\bar{g} = (1-s)g_0 + sg_1,$$

where $s = \psi(|x|)$ for some smooth function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$. This immediately implies that if the metrics g_0, g_1 are invariant with respect to the action of a group G preserving $|x|$, then $\bar{g}$ will be also invariant with respect to G. Secondly, the coefficients of the Levi-Chivita connection and the Ricci tensor coefficients of the metrics $g_1, g_2, \bar{g}$ can be chosen to be arbitrary close to each other, independently of ρ_0.

Now, let us examine one by one the examples from Section 2.

Examples 1 and 2. As it was described in Section 2, the orbifolds M_1' and M_2' have isolated singular points with the neighborhoods of the form $\mathbb{C}^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. Applying Theorem 4 (to the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-covering of the small neighborhood of every singular point), we can assume that the neighborhood of each singular point is isometric to a geodesic ball in $\mathbb{C}P^3/\mathbb{Z}_3$. Applying Theorem 2 yields some Ricci-positive invariant metric on N_1 and N_2, which are obtained by blowing-up the singular points. Finally, Theorem 3 provides us with the metrics needed on Z_{Q_1} and Z_{Q_2}.

Example 3. Take $\varepsilon > 0$. Construct a smooth function $f(t)$ on the segment $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &f(t) = 1 \text{ for } \ 0 \le t \le \varepsilon, \\ &f(t) = \cos t \text{ for } \ \tfrac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \le t \le \tfrac{\pi}{2}, \\ &f'(t) < 0, f''(t) < 0 \text{ for } \ \varepsilon < t < \tfrac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that such a function exists for all sufficiently small ε. Consider the following Riemannian metric on the space $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]^3 \times (S^1)^6$ with the coordinates $(t_1, t_2, t_3, \phi_1, \psi_1, \phi_2, \psi_2, \phi_3, \psi_3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} g = \quad & dt_1^2 + \cos(t)^2 d\phi_1^2 + f(\tfrac{\pi}{2} - t_1)^2 d\psi_1^2 + \\ & + dt_2^2 + f(t_2)^2 d\phi_2^2 + f(\tfrac{\pi}{2} - t_2)^2 d\psi_2^2 + \\ & + dt_3^2 + f(t_3)^2 d\phi_3^2 + \sin(t_3)^2 d\psi_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ the coordinates (t_i, ϕ_i, ψ_i) are well defined on the corresponding spheres S^3; g is a smooth metric on $Z_P = S^3 \times S^3 \times S^3$ and has nonnegative sectional curvature because of the restrictions imposed on $f(t)$.

In our coordinates, the subsets F_1 and F_2 are specified by the equations $t_2 = t_3 = 0$ and $t_1 = t_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively.

Remark 4. It is clear that the transformation $(t_1, t_2, t_3, \phi_1, \psi_1, \phi_2, \psi_2, \phi_3, \psi_3) \mapsto (\frac{\pi}{2} - t_3, \frac{\pi}{2} - t_2, \frac{\pi}{2} - t_1, \psi_3, \phi_3, \psi_2, \phi_2, \psi_1, \phi_1)$ is an isometry of Z_P, which interchanges F_1 and F_2. Therefore, it suffices to perform further reasoning (due to its locality) only for F_1.

Define a neighborhood $U \subset Z_P$ of the set F_1 by the relations $t_2 < \varepsilon$ and $t_3 < \varepsilon$. Obviously, U is isometric to the direct product $S^3 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times D^2 \times D^2$

with the metric

$$\begin{aligned} g|_U = \ & \left(dt_1^2 + \cos(t)^2 d\phi_1^2 + f(\tfrac{\pi}{2} - t_1)^2 d\psi_1^2\right) + \left(d\phi_2^2 + d\phi_3^2\right) + \\ & + \left(dt_2^2 + \sin(t_2)^2 d\psi_2^2\right) + \left(dt_3^2 + \sin(t_3)^2 d\psi_3^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

(that is, every disk D^2 is isometric to a geodesic disk on the two-dimensional unit sphere). Put $S = D^2 \times D^2$ with the induced metric. In what follows, we will also consider in S the coordinates (t, θ, ψ, ϕ) given by $t_2 = t\cos(\frac{\theta}{2}), t_3 = \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}), \psi_2 = \frac{\psi+\phi}{2}, \psi_3 = \frac{\psi-\phi}{2}$.

It is clear that S has nonnegative sectional curvature and strictly positive Ricci curvature (to be precise, the Ricci curvature of S equals one). Applying Theorem 4, we can deform the metric on S in such a way that, preserving the Ricci-positivity, it will not change outside the $\varepsilon/2$-neighborhood of the point $p = \{t = 0\} \in S$ and will be isometric to a geodesic ball of radius $\varepsilon/4$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ inside the $\varepsilon/4$-neighborhood. According to Theorem 2, we can now blow-up $S/\mathbb{Z}_2$ inside the $\varepsilon/4$-neighborhood preserving Ricci-positivity, obtaining a manifold S'. Now we replace each "direct" factor S in U by a double-covering over S' (branched along the vertical circles of the Hopf fibration) and obtain $U' = S^3 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S'$. Since the Riemannian manifolds S and the double-covering over S' are isometric in the $\varepsilon/2$-neighborhood, we can paste in U' instead of U in Z_P, obtaining Z'_P. Strictly speaking, U' is a double-covering, branched over F_1, but after factorizing with respect to the action (1) of the group T^3 we get a smooth manifold. Let us remark that this whole construction can be performed for any arbitrary small ε.

Lemma 4. *The factor-space $\tilde{U} = U'/T^3$ with the induced metric has strictly positive Ricci curvature for a sufficiently small ε.*

Proof. The metric induced on the space $\tilde{U}$ is characterized by such property that the factorization map $U' \to \tilde{U}$ is a Riemannian submersion. We use the following analogue of O'Neills formula for the Ricci tensor [18]:

$$\tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) = R(X, X) + 2(AX, AX) + (TX, TX) - (D_X N, X).$$

Here $\tilde{R}$ and R are the Ricci tensors of $\tilde{U}$ and U'; A and T are the fundamental tensors connected with the Riemannian submersion; $\tilde{X}$ is a tangent vector field on $\tilde{U}$ with the horizontal lifting X on U'; N is the mean curvature vector of the submersion fibers, that is, $N = \sum_i T_{U_i} U_i$, where U_i is an orthonormal basis in the vertical subspace of submersion. As a (non-orthonormal) basis of the vertical vector fields, we can choose the following one:

$$V_1 = \partial_{\phi_1} + 2\partial_{\psi_1} + \partial_{\phi_2},$$

$$V_2 = \partial_{\psi_1} + \partial_{\phi_2} + \partial_{\phi_3} + \partial_{\psi_2},$$

$$V_3 = -\partial_{\psi_1} - \partial_{\phi_2} + \partial_{\phi_3} + \partial_{\psi_3}.$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) \geq R(X, X) - (D_X N, X). \tag{11}$$

Note first that Z_P has nonnegative Ricci curvature and $R(X,X)=0$ exactly in two cases: 1) $t_2 \leq \varepsilon, t_3 \leq \varepsilon$ and $X = \alpha\partial_{\phi_2} + \beta\partial_{\phi_3}$; 2) $t_1 \geq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon, t_2 \geq \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$ and $X = \alpha\partial_{\psi_1} + \beta\partial_{\psi_2}$, where α, β are some coefficients. One can verify immediately that the non-vanishing vectors X from 1), 2) cannot be horizontal. Consequently, there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that the Ricci curvature of the space Z_P in the horizontal direction is no less than σ. Therefore, in view of Remark 3 and after applying Theorem 4 to deform the metric, we can achieve that the Ricci curvature of the resulting metric in horizontal direction is no less than $\sigma/2$ independently of how small ε is. At last, applying Theorem 2, the Ricci curvature in horizontal direction after blowing-up is again estimated from below by some constant $\kappa > 0$ independent of ε. Thus, we can estimate from below the first summand in the right-hand side of (11):

$$R(X,X) \geq \kappa. \tag{12}$$

Now, suppose that we choose some orthonormal basis in the space of vertical vectors:

$$V_i' = Y_i + Z_i,$$

where Y_i, Z_i are the vector fields tangent to $S^3 \times T^2$ and S', respectively, where $i = 1,2,3$. Then

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (T_{Y_i}Y_i + T_{Z_i}Z_i) = N_1 + N_2$$

is the corresponding decomposition of the mean curvature vector. We see that the quadratic form $R(X,X) - (D_X N, X)$ splits into three blocks, corresponding to the tangent subspaces of S^3, T^2 (flat torus) and S.

If we apply the construction of Theorem 4, then, in view of Remark 3, we obtain a metric $\bar{g}$ on S which is a "linear interpolation" of the metrics g_0 and g_1. Therefore, in our case it will have the form

$$\bar{g} = \left(dt^2 + f(t)^2 d\theta^2\right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} g_{ij}(t,\theta) d\xi^i d\xi^j,$$

where $\psi = \xi^1, \phi = \xi^2$. A simple calculation shows that

$$D_{\xi^i}\xi^j = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{grad}(g_{ij})$$

(the gradient is taken with respect to the metric $dt^2 + h^2 d\theta^2$). Let us put

$$Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \alpha_i^j \partial_{\xi^j}.$$

Since T^3 acts with a fixed point at the pole $p \in S$, the vector fields Z_i vanish at $t = 0$. Thus $\alpha_i^j(p) = 0$ for all i,j. Then,

$$N_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{3}\sum_{j,k=1}^{2} \alpha_i^j \alpha_i^k\ \mathrm{grad}(g_{jk}).$$

Therefore,

$$-(D_X N_2, X)|_{t=0} = (-X(N_2, X) + (N_2, D_X X))_{t=0} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} \left(2\alpha_i^j g_{jk} X(\alpha_i^k) + \alpha_i^j \alpha_i^k X(g_{jk})\right)_{t=0} = 0.$$

This means that, taking a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we can achieve that throughout the domain U the following inequality for the metric $\bar{g}$

holds:

$$|(D_X N_2, X)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{2}. \tag{13}$$

Now let us see what happens with the quantity $(D_X N_2, X)$ during the blow-up process as described in Theorem 2. The deformation does not take the metric out of the following class of metrics:

$$\bar{g} = dt^2 + \frac{1}{4}h(t)^2 \left(d\psi + \cos(\theta)d\phi\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}f(t)^2 \left(d\theta^2 + \sin(\theta)^2 d\phi^2\right)$$

(this metric is exactly the metric (2) under the assumption $n = 2$, expressed in the coordinates (t, θ, ψ, ϕ)). Recall that here $h(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 0$, and $f(0) = f_0 > 0$ can be taken arbitrary small while decreasing ε (see (10) and Remark 2). On the other hand, the coordinates of the field V_i' corresponding to ∂_ψ vanish at $t = 0$, because the sphere $\{t = 0\}$ is fixed by the action of ∂_ψ. Thus, $\alpha_i^1(p) = 0$. Now, as the radius of the sphere $t = 0$ equals f_0, we can make this sphere arbitrary small as well; and so α_i^2 at $t = 0$ can be chosen arbitrary small while decreasing ε. So, in this case we have

$$-(D_X N_2, X)|_{t=0} = (-X(N_2, X) + (N_2, D_X X))_{t=0} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j,k=1}^{2} \left(2\alpha_i^j g_{jk} X(\alpha_i^k) + \alpha_i^j \alpha_i^k X(g_{ij}) + \alpha_i^j \alpha_i^k \left(\mathrm{grad}(g_{jk}), D_X X\right)\right)_{t=0}$$

Since it is guaranteed that all the derivatives of the functions $f(t), h(t)$ are bounded independently of ε, all the derivatives of the coefficients of g_{ij} are bounded along the unit vector fields. This means that by diminishing ε we can get the estimate (13) in this case as well.

Now, consider the component N_1. Take the following basis of the vertical vectors:

$$V_1 = 2\partial_{\phi_3} + \partial_{\psi_2} + \partial_{\psi_3}.$$

$$V_2 = \partial_{\psi_1} + \partial_{\phi_2} + \partial_{\phi_3} + \partial_{\psi_2}.$$

$$V_3 = \partial_{\phi_1} - \partial_{\phi_2} - 2\partial_{\phi_3} - 2\partial_{\psi_2},$$

By the standard orthogonalization method we pass to an orthonormal basis at each point of the vertical vector fields V_1', V_2', V_3':

$$V_1' = Z_1',$$

$$V_2' = y_1\partial_{\psi_1} + Z_2',$$
$$V_3' = z_1\partial_{\phi_1} + z_2\partial_{\psi_1} + Z_3',$$

where the fields Z_i' are tangent to $T^2 \times S'$, and the coefficients y_1, z_1, z_2 are the functions of (t_1, t_2, t_3). Consequently,

$$N_1 = \left(z_1^2\sin(t_1)\cos(t_1) + w^2 f\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - t_1\right) f'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - t_1\right)\right)\partial_{t_1}$$

for some w, which can be explicitly expressed via y_1, z_1, z_2. As above, we see that $(D_X N_1, X) \neq 0$ if only $X = \partial_{t_1}$. So, we need only to check the Ricci-positivity for $X = \partial_{t_1}$. However, for all the horizontal vectors Y, which are orthogonal to ∂_{t_1}, the sectional curvature $K(\partial_{t_1}, Y) \geq 0$, and, moreover, $K(\partial_{t_1}, \partial_{\phi_1}) = 1$. Thus, by O'Neills formula we have

$$\tilde{R}(\tilde{\partial}_{t_1}, \tilde{\partial}_{t_1}) = \sum_{\tilde{Y} \perp \tilde{\partial}_{t_1}} K(\tilde{\partial}_{t_1}, \tilde{Y}) \geq \sum_{Y \perp \partial_{t_1}, V_1, V_2, V_3} K(\partial_{t_1}, Y) > 0, \qquad (14)$$

because there exist horizontal vectors Y with an everywhere non-vanishing coordinate with respect to ∂_{ϕ_1}.

To complete the proof of the lemma, we consider an arbitrary horizontal vector

$$X = \alpha_1\partial_{t_1} + \alpha_2\partial_{\phi_1} + \alpha_3\partial_{\psi_1} + \alpha_4\partial_{\phi_2} + \alpha_5\partial_{\phi_3} + X_2,$$

where X_2 is tangent to S'. Then, using (11), (12), (13) and (14), we get

$$\tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) \geq \alpha_1^2 \tilde{R}(\tilde{\partial}_{t_1}, \tilde{\partial}_{t_1}) +$$

$$+ R(\alpha_2\partial_{\phi_1} + \alpha_3\partial_{\psi_1} + \alpha_4\partial_{\phi_2}, \alpha_2\partial_{\phi_1} + \alpha_3\partial_{\psi_1} + \alpha_4\partial_{\phi_2}) + |\tilde{X}_2|^2\frac{\kappa}{2}.$$

It is clear that if $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $X_2 = 0$, then $\alpha_2\partial_{\phi_1} + \alpha_3\partial_{\psi_1} + \alpha_4\partial_{\phi_2}$ is a horizontal vector; and, therefore, the middle summand in the right-hand side of the last inequality is bounded below by σ. If α_1 (respectively $|X_2|$) does not vanish, then the middle summand is at least nonnegative and the first (respectively, the third) summand is strictly positive. The lemma is proved.

If we perform the blow-up construction described above for the neighborhood of F_2 as well, we obtain a quasitoric manifold N_3 with positive Ricci curvature. Application of Theorem 3 finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 5. The authors have been able to construct many other actions of T^3 on Z_P, corresponding to cutting-off different sets of vertices of the cube. It is clear that in all these cases, by utilizing similar reasoning, one can succeed in constructing the Ricci-positive Riemannian metrics on the corresponding moment-angle manifolds.

References

[1] Sha J., Yang D. Positive Ricci curvature on the connected sums of $S^n \times S^m$ // Journal of Differential Geometry. 1991. V. 33, no. 1, pp. 127–137.

[2] Wraith D. J. New connected sums with positive Ricci curvature // Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry. 2007. V. 32, no. 4, pp. 343–360.

[3] I. V. Baskakov. Massey triple products in the cohomology of moment-angle complexes // Russian Mathematical Surveys. 2003. V. 58, N.5. P. 1039–1046.

[4] Buchshtaber V. M., Panov T. E. Torus actions in topology and combinatorics. Moscow: MTsNMO, 2004.

[5] Bosio F., Meersseman L. Real quadrics in $\mathbb{C}^n$, complex manifolds and convex polytopes // Acta Mathematica. 2006. V. 197, no. 1, pp. 53–127.

[6] J. Sha, D. Yang. Positive Ricci curvature on compact simply connected 4-manifolds. Differential geometry. Part 3: Riemannian geometry. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 1993. V. 54, Part 3, pp. 529-538.

[7] Ya. V. Bazaikin, I. V. Matvienko. On the four-dimensional T^2-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature // Siberian Mathematical Journal. 2007. V. 48, no. 5, pp. 778–783.

[8] Davis M. W., Januszkiewicz T. Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions // Duke Mathematical Journal. 1991. V. 62, no. 2, pp. 417–451.

[9] Gitler S., Lopez de Medrano S. Intersections of quadrics, moment-angle manifolds and connected sums // arXiv:0901.2580v2 [math.GT]

[10] Calabi E. Metriques kahleriennes et fibres holomorphes // Annales Scientifiques de l'Ecole Normale Superieure. Quatrieme Serie. 1979. V. 12, no. 2, pp. 269–294.

[11] Ya. V. Bazaikin. On the new examples of complete noncompact Spin(7) -holonomy metrics // Siberian Mathematical Journal. 2007. V. 48, no. 1, pp. 8–25.

[12] Cheeger J. Some examples of manifolds of nonnegative curvature // Journal of Differential Geometry. 1973. V. 8, no. 4, pp. 623–628.

[13] Poor W. A. Some exotic spheres with positive Ricci curvature // Mathematische Annalen. 1975. V. 216, no. 3, pp. 245–252.

[14] Berard-Bergery L. Certains fibres a courbure de Ricci positive // Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Seances de l'Academie des Sciences. Se'ries A et B. 1978. V. 286, no. 20, A929–A931.

[15] Nash J. C. Positive Ricci curvature on fibre bundles // Journal of Differential Geometry. 1979. V. 14, no. 2, pp. 241–254.

[16] Gilkey P. B., Park J., Tuschmann W. Invariant metrics of positive Ricci curvature on principal bundles // Mathematische Zeitschrift. 1998. V. 227, no. 3, pp. 455–463.

[17] Gao L. Zh. The construction of negatively Ricci curved manifolds // Mathematische Annalen. 1985. V. 271, no. 2, pp. 185–208.

[18] Besse A. Einstein manifolds. Springer-Verlag and Heidelberg GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2007.